

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/829,151	04/09/2001	Alan Young	47185-95330	8242	
7	7590 12/19/2003			EXAMINER	
Arter & Hadden LLP			NGUYEN, TAN D		
One Columbus Suite 2100	One Columbus Suite 2100			PAPER NUMBER	
	10 West Broad Street			3629	
Columbus, OH 43215-3422			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\$ - 1°	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
∘ Office Action Summary	09/829,151	YOUNG, ALAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Tan Dean Nguyen	3629				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 No</u>	ovember 2001.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification of in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				



Application/Control Number: 09/829,151

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims <u>1</u>-7, <u>8</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

In order for the claimed invention to be statutory subject matter, the claimed invention must fall within one of the statutory classes of invention as set forth in § 101 (i.e. a process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter).

In the present case, claim 1 is directed to a "method for analyzing business data", which is not within one of the classes of invention set forth in § 101.

The "method for analyzing business data" comprising the steps of "(a) identifying a key performance indicator – (e) transmitting the value of the key performance indicator to a contextual visualization interface" as claimed, are merely an <u>abstract idea</u> and do not produce a useful, tangible, concrete results.

The "method for analyzing business data" comprising the steps of (a)-(e) as shown are merely an abstract idea and does not reduce to a practical application in the Technological arts (involving computer or electronic network) and are therefore are found to be non-statutory.

Claim 8, "A system for analyzing and presenting business data", which comprises two major elements "a contextual visualization interface, a workflow manager" as shown, are merely elements containing abstract idea and does not reduce to a practical



Art Unit: 3629

application in the Technological arts (involving computer or electronic network) and are therefore are found to be non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. Claims 1-7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It's not clear from the specification and Figs. 1-6 how the current steps of claim 1 can be put together to form a method for analyzing business data.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The preamble calls for a method for analyzing business data but the body of the claim fails to show the steps of how to analyze the business data.
- 5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It's not clear from the specification and Figs. 1-6 how the current elements of claim 8 can be interpreted and operated together to form a system for analyzing and presenting business data. Moreover, it's not clear what is the scope of the claim.



Art Unit: 3629

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It's not clear how the two elements as shown on the claim operating together to achieve the scope the claim which is a system for analyzing and presenting business data. There appears no elements deal with how to analyze the business data and then presenting the data.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - 1. US Patent 6,289,380 discloses network management system using virtual reality techniques to display and simulate navigation to network components.
 - 2. US Patent 2003/0088663 discloses method and apparatus for predictively and graphically administering a network system in a time dimension.
 - 3. Article "Influence ...Rural Sweeden" is cited to teach some relationship between performance indicator and business event.



Application/Control Number: 09/829,151

Art Unit: 3629

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general 8. questions, by persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiner. As the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining Tech Center, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiner. See MPEP 203.08. The Tech Center clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1113.

In receiving an Office Action, it becomes apparent that certain documents are missing, e. g. copies of references, Forms PTO 1449, PTO-892, etc., requests for copies should be directed to Tech Center 3600 Customer Service at (703) 306-5771, or e-mail CustomerService3600@uspto.gov .

Any inquiry concerning the merits of the examination of the application should be directed to Dean Tan Nguyen at telephone number (703) 308-2053. My work schedule is normally Monday through Friday from 7:00 am through 4:30 pm.

Should I be unavailable during my normal working hours, my supervisor John Weiss may be reached at (703) 308-2702. The FAX phone numbers for formal communications concerning this application are (703) 872-9306. Informal communications may be made, following a telephone call to the examiner, by an informal FAX number to be given.

Other possibly helpful telephone numbers are:

(703) 305-8322 Allowed Files & Publication Assignment Branch (703) 308-9287 Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309

Drawing Corrections/Draftsman (703) 305-8404/8335

Fee Questions (703) 305-5125

Intellectual Property Questions (703) 305-8217

Petitions/Special Programs (703) 305-9282 (703) 305-8408 **Terminal Disclaimers**

Information Help Line

1-800-786-9199

dtn

December 10, 2003